**Final Officer Comment** 

## Watford Fields (Zone F) – Statutory Consultation Responses

**ROAD** 

Following the residents of the Watford Fields Area (Zone F) raising concerns about the parking capacity within the zone, a reduction of sections of the no waiting restrictions around existing parking bays has been proposed to increase the parking bays where feasible. An additional 5-6 spaces in the area was found.

Statutory Consultation was undertaken to formally gauge the resident's views on the proposals. This was carried out between 11<sup>th</sup> November and the 2<sup>nd</sup> December 2022. Letters were posted to 75 addresses (those in close proximity to the proposals), notices erected on the streets and a public notice placed in Watford Observer.

Statutory Consultees were also consulted none of which replied except Hertfordshire Police.

No. of responses | Support | Objection |

The following table sets out responses received verbatim, followed by officer comments. A total of 7 responses were received; 5 objected and 2 supported

Hamilton Road	1	1	vehicle cannot visibilit interes	the proposals have been tracked using software, which shows larger es can turn, concern still remains from the resident that larger vehicles a pass. As the road is also two way at this point there would be reduced try for drivers to see oncoming traffic around the bend. Therefore in the st of safety, it is recommended that the proposal is not proceeded to allow a safe passage of emergency vehicles at all times.			
Resident Comment				Objection/Support	Officer Comment		
1) We understand that council has reducing the No Waiting restrict per below. We object this based for emergency and delivery servevenings and on Sunday which conservices and delivery vans cannot restriction will be reduced by 4 reproblem but not just in the even are enough for you not to proce yellow line where Cannon Road order to ensure the road is access.	ion on the western side of Hon the grounds of parking ices. It's not restricted to parting auses massive issues alread of pass and vehicles get damineters we as residents will eligs and on Sundays but 24 and Hamilton Road join on the sundays of the sundays but 24 and Hamilton Road join on the sundays of the sundays but 24 and Hamilton Road join on the sundays of the sundays but 24 and Hamilton Road join on the sundays of t	Objection	The resident believes the proposals to extend the parking bay will cause further obstruction and blockage with the safe passage of emergency vehicles and delivery service who already experience issues outside the restriction hours, in the evening and on Sundays. They have rather requested the implementation of double yellow lines (No waiting at any time) around the junction with Cannon Road to ensure road is accessible at al times for emergency vehicles.				

ROAD	No. of responses	Support	Objection	Final Officer Comment
Lammas Road (south)	2			In the interest of safety, it is recommended that the proposals is not proceeded to ensure an adequate stopping area is maintained at all times for the safe passage of vehicles.

Resident Comment	Objection/Support	Officer Comment
1) I am writing about the changes to parking bays in the Watford Field area WR22-CZF-01. My concern is relation to the bays in Lammas Road. Lammas Road experiences high traffic periods including buses operated by eagle. The areas that are proposed to be extension provide critical stopping areas for the one way flow of traffic due to double parking and enough viewership to see cars when exiting York Road. I sincerely request that this change is reviewed as these areas need to stay car free to ensure that enough visibility and stop areas are available without blocking York road and Elfrida Road and safe exits are still possible. When vans in particular are parked by the two exits it is almost impossible to see if there is an oncoming vehicle.	Object	The resident has expressed a concern about the area of extension for both proposed locations on Lammas (southern and northern end) Road which currently provide critical stopping areas for the one way traffic flow due to the double parking Lammas Road and has requested a review of the proposals. They concluded that these areas need to stay car free to ensure that enough visibility and stop areas are available without blocking York road and Elfrida Road and safe exits are still possible.  Implementation of the proposal will leave a 4 meter space for vehicles to stop. Depending on the size of the vehicle that stops, it may cause obstruction to vehicles waiting to turn out of Elfrida Road (north).
2) I would like to make an objection to a proportion of the proposed minor amendments to waiting restrictions around Watford Fields. I can see that the majority of the proposed areas would be a viable amendment but I am concerned about two proposed amendments, the northern side of Lammas Road and the southern side of Lammas Road. This route is the main access through for traffic from Lower High Street Watford in a southerly direction and from Wiggenhall Road in a northern direction. The points indicated for amendment are a usual point to pull in if you should see approaching vehicles to allow them to pass and I am concerned that if these points in the stretch of road were taking up with parked vehicles, it would cause gridlock should vehicles be approaching from either direction with no point to pull over. This section of road gets extremely busy during school drop times, in the morning and afternoon, and as things stand at the moment, there is some 'wriggle' room to allow passing vehicles but I fear this would cause a lot of issues if these two waiting areas were removed. I realise the surveyor will have looked at all this prior to the proposals but possibly when it was a quieter time of day. I urge them to attend during the school drop and pick up times and review any findings from that point. Thank you for your time.	Object	Same as above.  Resident highlighted that the need to maintain the stopping areas on Lammas Road is important especially during the busy times — during school drop off and pick up, and generally in the mornings and evenings.

ROAD	No. of responses	Support	Objection		Final Officer Comment	
Elfrida Road South	1				erest of safety, it is recommended that the proposal is not for the safe accessibility into and out of the resident's	
Respondent wrote in on behalf of "her house id directly opposite of The proposal there is to extend the time. I can see why this has bee driveway which is in regular use, unusable. It is rare that the drive occasion by delivery drivers and perimpossible to get the car off the drive to enable insufficient turning room to be about the drive to be extended. Besides and needs to have rear access from	the of the locations mention in the bay by 4m and remove in suggested. However, mand extending the bay we has been blocked in the prepopel dropping off childrent rive.  Souse are occupied, as the le to turn to exit the road. We driving out, would be single which, my car is a wheelch	the no wa y Mum's h would mak ast, but it h off for sch y usually a nilarly resti	iting at any pouse has a see the drive has been on ool and it is are, there is cictive if the lible vehicles	Objection	The respondent is concerned that extending the bay will affect accessibility into and out of her drive as it is directly opposite the proposed bay extension area.  A site visit was undertaken to review the issue- the existing carriageway layout and to take carriageway width measurements which is approximately 6.2m. Even though the resident's drive is protected by an access protection marking, the existing parking bay runs across it which means that vehicles can park very close on either side of the drive, restricting movemen out of the drive. Implementing the proposal to exten the parking bay will further obstruct/ restrict the turn out of the resident's drive into Elfrida Road (south).	

ROAD	No. of responses	Support	Objection	Final Officer Comment		
Cannon Road	1		1	It is therefore recommended that this proposal is implemented/introduced advertised		
I object to the proposal of reduction around some parking bays to in parking in Watford Fields is not to people who do not pay for par finished, ie after 6.30pm or on Sur	crease the parking capaci do with the lack of parking king permits to park once	ty. The pr bays but o the restri		The resident is convinced the issue with the area is not due to the lack of parking bays but due to the number of non-permit drivers that park outside the parking restriction period. They also believe that reducing the single yellow lines will create less spaces		

is no problem with the parking. Also if you reduce the single yellow lines, you are	to park outside the parking restriction period, when	
creating less places to park when the parking bays are full as you can park	the bays are full.	
outside of parking restrictions on a single yellow line; and on Lammas Road you are		
reducing the "pull in" places for traffic passing through.	The proposal on the whole is intended to increase the	
	parking capacity for permits holders in the area.	

	ROAD	No. of responses	Support	Objection	Final Officer Comment
		0			It is therefore recommended that this proposal is implemented/introduced as
York Road		U			advertised
	ROAD	No of responses	Support	Ohiection	Final Officer Comment

ROAD	No. of responses	Support	Objection		Final Officer Comment	
Lammas Road (north) by York Rd		It ac		It is therefore recommended that this proposal is implemented/introduced advertised as the requirement for the 'stopping area' and forward visibility obe maintained unlike the proposed southern side of Lammas Road.		
1) I am writing about the changes to parking bays in the Watford Field area WR22-CZF-01. My concern is relation to the bays in Lammas Road. Lammas Road experiences high traffic periods including buses operated by eagle. The areas that are proposed to be extension provide critical stopping areas for the one way flow of traffic due to double parking and enough viewership to see cars when exiting York Road. I sincerely request that this change is reviewed as these areas need to stay car free to ensure that enough visibility and stop areas are available without blocking York road and Elfrida Road and safe exits are still possible. When vans in particular are parked by the two exits it is almost impossible to see if there is an oncoming vehicle.				Object	Same as Lammas Road above (southern end)  The proposal on the northern end of Lammas Road, by York Road is on the western side, in the direction of southbound traffic. The need to have a stopping area to allow northbound traffic to pass would be before the existing parking bay, not after. Extending the bay as proposed will therefore not cause obstruction to the flow of traffic or affect the visibility of on-coming traffic.	
) I would like to make an objection to a proportion of the proposed minor amendments to waiting restrictions around Watford Fields. I can see that the majority of the proposed areas would be a viable amendment but I am concerned about two proposed amendments, the northern side of Lammas Road and the southern side of Lammas Road. This route is the main access through for traffic from Lower High Street Watford in a southerly direction and from Wiggenhall Road in a northern direction. The points indicated for amendment are a usual point to pull in if you should see approaching vehicles to allow them to pass and I am concerned that if these points in the stretch of road				Same as Lammas Road above (southern end)  Resident highlighted that the need to maintain the stopping areas on Lammas Road is important especially during the busy times – during school drop off and pick up, and generally in the mornings and evenings.		

were taking up with parked vehicles, it would cause gridlock should vehicles be approaching from either direction with no point to pull over. This section of road gets extremely busy during school drop times, in the morning and afternoon, and as things stand at the moment, there is some 'wriggle' room to allow passing vehicles but I fear this would cause a lot of issues if these two waiting areas were removed. I realise the surveyor will have looked at all this prior to the proposals but possibly when it was a quieter time of day. I urge them to attend during the school drop and pick up times and review any findings from that point. Thank you for your time.

ROAD	No. of responses	Support	Objection	Final Officer Comment					
Tucker Street	1	1		It is therefore recommended that this proposal is implemented/introduced advertised					
Resident Comment			Objection/Support	Officer Comment					
1) I am in support of these change for electric vehicles and also di			•	Support	Resident is in support of proposals in terms of creating more space for parking				
for the majority. This will only l see the council being proactive	become a larger issue in t	ne future s		more space for parking					
planned correctly to allow cars as this could cause accidents.	sufficient space to turn sa	afely aroun							

ROAD	No. of responses	Support	Objection	Final Officer Comment				
	1	1		It is therefore recommended that this proposal is implemented/introduced a				
Roberts Road				advertised				
Resident Comment				Objection/Support	Officer Comment			
1) In response to your letter regarding				Support	Resident is in support of proposals in terms of creating			
above as this is how Roberts Road	•				more space for parking. They however raise issues			
took the parking bays away witho		•			associated with parents dropping off and picking their			
the council about this at the time	but nothing was done	e, also spok	ce to the		kids up from school. These include the residents being			
Mayor when he came round door	knocking & he e-mai	led to say t		blocked in, engines being left on while parents access				
going to be looked at but suddenly	the lines they had p	ut when re		the school, litter being left on pavement etc. They				
were actually reinforced with mor	e white paint. & no c	onsultation	n. On a					

separate but similar ilk something needs to be done about the four times a day invasion of the cars of the parents taking their children to school which is a complete and utter nightmare, car regularly blocked in whilst they go to the school. Engines left running to either keep warm or cool down, my home is filled with dreadful fumes more than a garage forecourt. When asked politely to turn them off, abuse. Their radios are on full blast so you can't hear the television or hear yourself think again if asked to politely turn it off a mouthful of abuse. They leave their litter & facemasks on the pavement, coffee cups, chip boxes etc. while they sit there waiting to go in to the school for about half an hour before the children are due to come out. They park in the bays (4) times a day at varying times) due to nursery & infants, I am disabled & my partner is also disabled with serious breathing problems so we have windows open for air & the fumes are absolutely dreadful. Could signs be put up on the posts for 'Engines to be turned off'? This also involves constant delivery vehicles parked outside our home also left with the engines & radios left on whilst they go and do all their deliveries around and about. Most of the traffic wardens, if they come, do absolutely nothing but stand there & watch, the odd few that do also get abuse or threats. There should be clear notices stopping them from driving & parking in the bays and on the lines causing us all grief every day. I go out to get my shopping then can't get near my own home to get my shopping from the car to indoors because of the school parents being on the lines, I have a Disabled Badge, not much use as they are there on the yellow lines or they are parked in the permit bays anyway. Something should be done as we as Residents are fed up to the back teeth with this harassment all day long. We would all be very grateful if something can be done or looked into to prevent all the above constant upset. Thanks for any assistance that could be offered.

would like the Council to consider measures to help deal with the issues raised.

The issue of school travel should be raised with Hertfordshire County Council Councillors. It should also be raised with the active travel teams at Hertfordshire County Council and dialogue should beundertaken with the school travel plan team.